

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/625,164	07/23/2003	Eddie Reed	27497/2002	8006	
29932 7	7590 07/12/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP			HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN		
FOR PAULA I P.O. BOX 061			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			3736		
CHICAGO, II	L 60606-1080		DATE MAILED: 07/12/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				e				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/625,164	REED ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jeffrey G. Hoekstra	3736					
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
WHICHEVER I: - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with Any reply received	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY S LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMAY BY EAST OF T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Respons	ve to communication(s) filed on 19 Ap	<u>oril 2006</u> .						
/—	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in	accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	i				
Disposition of Cla	ims							
4) Claim(s)	8-34 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s)	<u>8-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Paper	s							
9)∏ The speci	· fication is objected to by the Examine	·Г.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath	or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	2.				
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
	dġment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
1.	rtified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	rtified copies of the priority document							
	pies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage)				
•	plication from the International Burea							
* See the at	tached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∍d.					
Attachment/e\								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Referer	nces Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsp	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) Information Discl Paper No(s)/Mail	osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Date	6) Other:	-ателі Аррікапон (РТО-192)					

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Notice of Amendment

1. In response to the amendment filed on 04/19/2006, canceled claims 1-7 and new claims 8-34 are acknowledged.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 8-19, drawn to a bodily specimen collection apparatus, classified in class 600, subclass 569.
 - Claims 20-24, drawn to a bodily specimen collection and disease diagnostic product, classified in class 600, subclass 562.
 - III. Claims 25-34, drawn to a method of collecting and detecting disease, classified in class 435, subclass 226.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product because the apparatus may be used in a substantially dissimilar and structurally

Application/Control Number: 10/625,164 Page 3

Art Unit: 3736

divergent product such as product for collecting fecal or mucous specimens for instance.

- 4. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as a process for collecting fecal or mucous specimens for instance.
- 5. Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as specimen collection for detecting cervical cancer.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3736

8. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

9. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species A: "HPV antibody and immunoassay detection" embodiment and Species B: "DNA extraction and polypeptide detection" embodiment. The species are independent or distinct because they are substantially dissimilar and structurally divergent means for detecting the presence of HPV protein in a specimen.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 3736

10. A telephone call was made to Ralph Loren on 7/7/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3736

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey G. Hoekstra whose telephone number is (571)272-7232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max F. Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JGH -

MAX F. HINDENBURG/
CONTERMISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1. SUITABLE DIVIGENTER 3700